Case: 1:07-cv-03626 Document #: 146-10 Filed: 12/14/09 Page 1 of 11 PageID #:1686

EXHIBIT I

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

JAMES JIRAK AND ROBERT)
PEDERSEN)
	→ COF
Plaintiff,)
)
v .) CIVIL ACTION FILE:
) 07 C 3626
) -
ABBOTT LABORATORIES, INC.	·)
	}
)
Defendant.)

Videotaped deposition of TOMAS J. CHAO, taken on behalf of the Defendant, pursuant to the stipulations agreed to herein, before Arne' Davis, CCR, at JONES DAY, 1420 Peachtree Street, NE, Atlanta, Georgia, on the 26th day of September 2009, commencing at 10:00 AM.

Reported by: Arne' Davis, CCR

A Yes.

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q But you didn't receive anything from Abbott that specifically said what you had to include --

A Oh, absolutely, you did.

Q What did you receive from Abbott that

Page 74

told you	what	to	include	in	pre-	or	post-call	
----------	------	----	---------	----	------	----	-----------	--

- A We received education from the trainers that we had to actually practice. We were told what to say during the call, the actual content, and we were given exact verbatim closing statements that we were to use.
- Q When you say given verbatim closing statements, what's a closing statement?
- A The statement that seeks to gain the buy-in with the prescriber, whether it be a physician or PA.
- Q So it's the line where you ask for business at the end?
 - A Yes.

- Q Do you receive a script from Abbott regarding the closing statement?
 - A All the time.
- Q When you say, all the time, were they changing closing statements?
- A We received multiple closing statements.

 Continuously, over my entire time with Abbott, there
 was continuous training on closing statements.
- Q When you say that you received multiple closing statements, was there one closing statements at a time or did you receive multiple statements at

1	A That was spent on bringing the speakers
2	in for programs. I believe they were all CME
3	programs, but I can't recall at this points if they
4	were non-CME or CME.
5	Q Did you have any input regarding the
6	presentations that the money was used towards?
7	A Absolutely not.
8	Q Could you suggest topics for future
9	presentations?
10	A No, the speakers had their own slide
11	decks. That was provided by Abbott, and they had to
12	follow that slide deck.
13	Q Were you ever in charge of organizing one
14	of the speaker events?
1.5	A Yes.
16	Q How many have you been in charge of
17	organizing?
18	A Two.
19	Q How was it determined who would be the
20	speaker at the event?
21	A My district manager actually told me.
22	Q And he told you who the speaker would be
23	at both events?
24	A Yes, he told me which speaker was going
25	to be there.

1	A I believe Focus was the name of the
2	There was a company assigned, which is a different,
3	different than the company now. But there was a
4	company at that points that would determine what
5	They were pre-assigned.
6	In fact, we would argue that we didn't
7	feel those topic names were appropriate but again,
8	it didn't matter, our opinion.
9	Q So some third-party company would decide
10	upon the topic name for the program?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Who would determine what was actually
13	discussed in fact in the program?
14	A Abbott. They had predetermined slide
15	decks.
16	Q So when you were talking about it being a
17	roundtable discussion, would the speaker engagement
18	veer off in other topical directions?
19	A Yes.
20	Q So it's fair to say they didn't always
21	stick to the information in the slide decks?
22	A Yes.
23	Q How did you determine which doctors you
24	would visit with?
}	

		Page 96
1	pre-assigned.	
2	Q Do you recall whether you received this	
3	list at the beginning of every year, every semester?	
4	A I can't recall.	
5	Q Do you recall how many doctors were on	
6	this list?	
7	A 30-plus.	
8	Q What type of information was provided in	
9	this list?	
10	A The names of the doctors and what their	
11	activities were in regards to the treatment of	•
12	psoriasis or in the case of rheumatologists,	
13	rheumatoid arthritis.	
14	Q When you say their activity, does that	
15	mean what types of patients they were treating?	
16	A Yes.	
17	Q Did this list tell you how frequently you	
18	had to meet with doctors?	
19	A The list ranked the doctors and then we	
20	were told that we were I cannot recall exactly,	·
21	but we were told we have to see these doctors a	
22	certain amount.	
23	Q When you say you were told you had to see	
24	these doctors a certain amount, who told you this?	

It came up from the top of Abbott down to

25

A

Case: 1:07-cv-03626 Document #: 146-10 Filed: 12/14/09 Page 8 of 11 PageID #:1693

		Page	100
1	routing schedule that you had to follow?		
2	A Well, I think he directed my partner to		
3	tell me to do that. He directed my partner		
4	excuse me to give me that list so that I wouldn't	į	
5	have to so that I would be more efficient from		
б	the beginning in seeing doctors.		
7	Q How do you know the district manager		
8	directed your partner?	·	
. 9	A I can vaguely recall a conversation that		
10	we had at our first district meeting, him saying to		
11	her to please make sure I get that before the end of		
12	the meeting.		
13	Q Was it your understanding that you could		
14	provide the routing schedule in the way that made		
15	sense to you?		
16	A Yes.		
17	Q So it was in your discretion if you		
18	wanted to see extra doctors you could go see extra		
19	doctors; is that fair?		
20	A Yes.		
21	Q Or if you wanted the see fewer, you could		
22	see fewer?		

Yes. But that was monitored greatly. I was seeing too little, I would be reprimanded.

23

24

25

MS. LEITENBERGER: Can we go off the

25

dynamics; is that fair?

. 1

8.

18.

Page 180

and I was getting paid on it. There was no A to B selling, there was no contract; it was an inference. When I would close doctors, they would tell me: Okay, I'll write. I wouldn't know either way if they were. I would look at numbers, and the doctor would tell me he's been writing, writing, writing, writing, and the numbers are still going down. How can that be true? There is a lot of inaccuracies in how that was determined.

Q So your problem is the actual data used to calculate your bonus, you felt, was inaccurate?

A It was inaccurate or nonspecific,

mon-detailed bordering on -- the easiest way to do

it was basically making it confusing so we could not

determine how it was really being calculated. By

the way, those calculations would change frequently,

how they would do anything for each trimester, it

would change, so it was a guessing game.

I had come from a position where I felt in control; I knew what I was going to get paid, everything was in a detailed spreadsheet to mass hysteria and confusion, not knowing if I was even going to accomplishing anything when I was going to work on a daily basis. That's what led to my frustration and ultimately my leaving.